

Launch Vibration Isolation for CubeSats

David Pignatelli Aerospace Engineer – CubeSat Program California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo

> 13th Annual CubeSat Developers Workshop April 20, 2016

Motivation

- Launch vehicle environments are very severe
- Industry desire to rigidly constrain payloads to allow for analyzable environments
- Ideal dispenser provides isolation and an analyzable load path
 - No effect on launch vehicle mounting interface or static envelope requirements
 - No effect on CubeSat Design Specification



CUBESA

Credit: ULA



Current Constraints in P-POD



Z-axis constrained

Dispenser

- Results in P-POD dynamics driven into CubeSats
- X/Y-Axis unconstrained
 - Results in isolated, damped "rattle" inside the P-POD
 - Difficult to analyze

Rigid Constraint vs. Loose Environment

- Modified 1U Test-POD to rigidly constrain 1U Aluminum Mass Model
- Test-POD transmits significantly less energy in the loose case
- Input: 13.89 G_{rms}
 - Rigid: 37.5 G_{rms}
 - Loose: 8.5 G_{rms}



10² 10 10 990 10 10 Locked Mass Mode Standard Rail Constraint 10 10 Frequency, Hz

Conceptual Design for Isolation (1)



Dispenser

CUBESA

- Fixed Z-Axis constraint replaced with damped isolator
- Isolation material can be incorporated into dispenser rails to mitigate the impact of the CubeSat rattling
 - Results in truly isolated system



- Pads
- Isolation Pads are laser cut to size and adhered to the P-POD with low outgassing adhesive
- CubeSat contacts anodized aluminum on all 4 sides
- System can be adapted to fit any rail-type dispenser

Low Outgassing Foam X/Y-Axis Test Setup

- 1 kg 1U Aluminum Mass Model integrated into 1U Test-POD
- Shock accelerometer hard-mounted to 1U Mass Model
- Vibration Response accelerometers mounted with super-glue to the 1U Test-POD and 1U Mass Model







¹U Test-POD Setup

X/Y-Axis Random Vibration Mass Model Response

- Significant isolation observed from implementing foam rails
- Both foams exhibit isolated roll-off
- Test-POD mode is transmitted to the Mass Model with the foam, but not without
 - Foam allows additional degrees of freedom



X/Y-Axis Shock Mitigation

- Foam provides significant shock attenuation above ~1000 Hz
- Firm foam performs similarly to the softer foam in shock mitigation
 - Less impact on design to maintain payload dynamic envelope with firm foam



CUBESA

Low Outgassing Foam Z-Axis Test Setup

- P-POD Mk. III Rev. C Integrated with 3 1U Aluminum Mass Models
 - Thicker foams required modified pocketed door
 - -Z Back Panel Screws were used to control initial foam compression
- Foam placed on P-POD door and P-POD Pusher-Plate
 - On +/-Z interfaces of CubeSats
- Measurement accelerometer on P-POD and Middle 1U Mass Model



Z-Axis Test Setup



Z-Axis Test Setup with Modified Door

Z-Axis Random Vibration Mass Model Response

- Significant attenuation of loads beyond isolation frequency
- Isolation frequencies range from 50-82 Hz, depending on:
 - Payload mass
 - Environment magnitudes
 - Initial compression of foam
- Objective is to envelope likely range of levels



System Analyzability

- 1U Test-POD FEM with mass element payload
 - RBE3 and CBUSH elements used to constrain payload
 - Damping and CBUSH stiffness values derived from test data
- 1U Test-POD integrated with 1kg Mass Model
 - Foam attached to rails



CUBESAT







Looking to the Future

- Analysis to predict levels for specific launch vehicle environments
- Implementation in Tyvak 6U Dispenser
- Design qualification and deployment testing
- Flight





Conclusion

- Not pursuing a locked-down constraint on the P-POD
- System builds upon the isolation currently provided
- Isolation design requires no changes to the LV interface
 - Ready for implementation now!





CUBES,